## Case 2:20-cr-00088-KJM Document 19 Filed 03/17/20 Page 1 of 4

| 1  | MCGREGOR W. SCOTT United States Attorney JAMES R. CONOLLY Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814 |  |  |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2  |  |  |  |
| 3  |  |  |  |
| 4  | Telephone: (916) 554-2700<br>Facsimile: (916) 554-2900   |  |  |
| 5  |  |  |  |
| 6  | Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America   |  |  |
| 7  |  |  |  |
| 8  | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  |  |  |
| 9  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |
| 11 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  | CASE NO. 2:19-MJ-154-AC  |  |
| 12 | Plaintiff,   | STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING PURSUANT TO RULE |  |
| 13 | v.   | 5.1(d) AND EXCLUSION OF TIME   |  |
| 14 | RICARDO SAUCEDA MONTOYA,   | DATE: March 19, 2020<br>TIME: 2:00 p.m.                                    |  |
| 15 | Defendants.  | COURT: Hon. Deborah L. Barnes  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |
| 17 | Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its attorney of record, Assistant United States   |  |  |
| 18 | Attorney James R. Conolly, and defendant Ricardo Sauceda Montoya, both individually and by and   |  |  |
| 19 | through his counsel of record, Dina Santos, hereby stipulate as follows:   |  |  |
| 20 | 1. The Complaint in this case was filed on September 13, 2019, and defendant first appeared  |  |  |
| 21 | before a judicial officer of the Court in which the charges in this case were pending on February 27,                                      |  |  |
| 22 | 2020. By previous order, the court set a preliminary hearing date of March 19, 2020.   |  |  |
| 23 | 2. By this stipulation, the parties jointly move for an extension of time of the preliminary   |  |  |
| 24 | hearing date to April 2, 2020, at 2:00 p.m., before the duty Magistrate Judge, pursuant to Rule 5.1(d) of                                  |  |  |
| 25 | the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. The parties stipulate that the delay is required to allow the                                     |  |  |
| 26 | defense reasonable time for preparation, and for the government's continuing investigation of the case.                                    |  |  |
| 27 | The parties further agree that the interests of justice served by granting this continuance outweigh the                                   |  |  |
| 28 | best interests of the public and the defendant in a  | speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).                                   |  |
|    | 1  |  |  |

STIPULATION 1

## Case 2:20-cr-00088-KJM Document 19 Filed 03/17/20 Page 2 of 4

| 1  | 1 3. The parties agree that good cause   | exists for the extension of time, and that the extension   |  |  |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| 2  | of time would not adversely affect the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases.        |  |  |  |
| 3  | Therefore, the parties request that the time between March 19, 2020, and April 2, 2020, be excluded        |  |  |  |
| 4  | 4 pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv), Local   | pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv), Local Code T-4. |  |  |
| 5  | 4. In addition, the parties jointly move to continue the hearing on the defendant's motion for             |  |  |  |
| 6  | bail review, currently set for March 19, 2020. For the sake of efficiency, the parties agree that the bail |  |  |  |
| 7  | review motion hearing should be set for the same date and time as the preliminary hearing, April 2,        |  |  |  |
| 8  | 2020, at 2:00 p.m., before the duty Magistrate Judge.  |  |  |  |
| 9  | 9  |  |  |  |
| 10 | IT IS SO STIPULATED.   |  |  |  |
| 11 | Dated: March 16, 2020  | McGREGOR W. SCOTT  |  |  |
| 12 | 12   Dated. Watch 10, 2020   | United States Attorney                                     |  |  |
| 13 | 13   | /s/ JAMES R. CONOLLY                                       |  |  |
| 14 | 14   | JAMES R. CONOLLY Assistant United States Attorney          |  |  |
| 15 | 15   | Assistant Onica states Actorney                            |  |  |
| 16 | Dated: March 16, 2020  | /s/ DINA SANTOS  |  |  |
| 17 | 17   | DINA SANTOS Counsel for Defendant                          |  |  |
| 18 |  | RICARDO SAUCEDA MONTOYA                                    |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | 40 II  |  |  |  |

2

STIPULATION

## Case 2:20-cr-00088-KJM Document 19 Filed 03/17/20 Page 3 of 4

| 1  | McGREGOR W. SCOTT  |  |  |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2  | United States Attorney JAMES R. CONOLLY Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700 |  |  |
| 3  |  |  |  |
| 4  |  |  |  |
| 5  | Facsimile: (916) 554-2900  |  |  |
| 6  |  |  |  |
| 7  | United States of America   |  |  |
| 8  | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  |  |  |
| 9  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |
| 11 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  | CASE NO. 2:19-MJ-154-AC  |  |
| 12 | Plaintiff,   | FINDINGS AND ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR                          |  |
| 13 | v.   | PRELIMINARY HEARING PURSUANT TO RULE 5.1(d) AND EXCLUDING TIME |  |
| 14 | RICARDO SAUCEDA MONTOYA,   | DATE: March 19, 2020   |  |
| 15 | Defendant.   | TIME: 2:00 p.m.<br>COURT: Hon. Deborah L. Barnes               |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |
| 18 | The Court has read and considered the Stipulation for Extension of Time for Preliminary Hearing  |  |  |
| 19 | Pursuant to Rule 5.1(d) and Exclusion of Time, filed by the parties in this matter on March 16, 2020.  |  |  |
| 20 | The Court hereby finds that the Stipulation, which this Court incorporates by reference into this Order,   |  |  |
| 21 | demonstrates good cause for an extension of time for the preliminary hearing date pursuant to Rule   |  |  |

5.1(d) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. The Court further finds good cause to continue the defendant's motion for bail review.

Furthermore, for the reasons set forth in the parties' stipulation, the Court finds that the interests of justice served by granting this continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The Court further finds that the extension of time would not adversely affect the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases.

THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN:

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## Case 2:20-cr-00088-KJM Document 19 Filed 03/17/20 Page 4 of 4

The date of the preliminary hearing is extended to April 2, 2020, at 2:00 p.m. 1. 2. The time between March 19, 2020, and April 2, 2020, shall be excluded from calculation pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). 3. Defendant shall appear at that date and time before the Magistrate Judge on duty. 4. The motion for bail review hearing, initially requested for March 19, 2020, is hereby continued to April 2, 2020, at 2:00 p.m. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: March 16, 2020 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE